1 2 3 4 5	Trenton H. Norris (California State Bar No. 16-Sarah Esmaili (California State Bar No. 206053 ARNOLD & PORTER LLP 90 New Montgomery Street, Suite 600 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 356-3000 Facsimile: (415) 356-3099 Email: trent.norris@aporter.com Email: sarah.esmaili@aporter.com		
6	Peter L. Zimroth (pro hac vice admission pendi		
7	Kent A. Yalowitz ( <i>pro hac vice</i> admission pending) Nancy G. Milburn ( <i>pro hac vice</i> admission pending) ARNOLD & PORTER LLP		
8	399 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022		
9	Telephone: (212) 715-1000 Facsimile: (212) 715-1399		
10	Email: peter.zimroth@aporter.com Email: kent.yalowitz@aporter.com		
11	Email: nancy.milburn@aporter.com		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION		
13			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	NORTHERN DIST	C-08-3247 CW	
	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT )		
16	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ) ASSOCIATION, )	C-08-3247 CW Case No STIPULATION AND ORDER	
16 17	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT () ASSOCIATION, () Plaintiff, ()	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING	
16 17 18	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A	
16 17 18 19	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF	
16 17 18 19 20	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF ENFORCEMENT	
16 17 18 19 20 21	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF ENFORCEMENT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF ENFORCEMENT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF ENFORCEMENT	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION,  Plaintiff,  v.  THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and THE SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH,	C-08-3247 CW Case No  STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF AND A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND REGARDING STAY OF ENFORCEMENT	

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Page Limits and Briefing Schedule Regarding Plaintiff's Motion for Declaratory Re

6

4

13

14

10

17

25

22

## **STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, Plaintiff California Restaurant Association filed a Complaint against the City and County of San Francisco and the San Francisco Department of Public Health (collectively, "Defendants") alleging that Ordinance 40-08 ("Ordinance") violates the U.S. and California Constitutions:

WHEREAS, the Ordinance amends San Francisco Health Code sections 468-468.8 to require restaurants with twenty or more establishments in the State of California to make statements showing certain nutritional information on menu boards and menus in manner prescribed by the Ordinance;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that the Ordinance is preempted under federal and state law and that the Ordinance unconstitutionally compels speech by the restaurants subject to the Ordinance:

WHEREAS, Plaintiff has brought a Motion for Declaratory Relief and a Preliminary Injunction ("Motion") in this action to enjoin the San Francisco Department of Public Health from enforcing the Ordinance;

WHEREAS, certain nutritional disclosure requirements begin to take effect under the Ordinance on August 23, 2008 ("Disclosure Requirements");

WHEREAS, a proposed amendment to the Ordinance is pending that would, among other things, postpone the operative date of these Disclosure Requirements to September 20, 2008;

WHEREAS, in light of the proposed amendment to the Ordinance, Defendant San Francisco Department of Public Health has stated that it will not enforce these Disclosure Requirements until September 20, 2008;

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed that, subject to Court approval of this Stipulation, Plaintiff's Motion would be noticed at least 63 days before the hearing date, Defendants' opposition papers would be due no less than 35 days before the hearing date, and Plaintiff's reply to the opposition papers would be due no less than 14 days before the hearing date;

WHEREAS, Defendants have agreed to postpone the operative date of the Disclosure Requirements until October 14, 2008;

WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 7-2(b) provides for a twenty-five (25) page limit on any motion and supporting memorandum of points and authorities filed in this action;

WHEREAS, Civil Local Rule 7-3(c) provides for a twenty-five (25) page limit on any opposition to any motion filed in this action;

WHEREAS, given the complexity of the constitutional issues raised in the action and in the Motion, Plaintiff and Defendants believe that it is appropriate, subject to Court approval, for the Motion and supporting memorandum of points and authorities and the Opposition to the Motion to exceed the twenty-five (25) page limit such that they are each no more than thirty-five (35) pages in length; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants agree that this stipulation is without prejudice as to any party's right to seek further or additional relief as to matters addressed herein;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among Plaintiff and Defendants, subject to approval of the Court, that:

- 1. Plaintiff's Motion (including the supporting memorandum of points and authorities) may exceed the twenty-five (25) page limit imposed by Civil Local Rule 7-2(b), but may not exceed a total of thirty-five (35) pages, exclusive of the caption page, table of contents, table of authorities, declarations, and exhibits.
- 2. Defendants' opposition to the Motion may exceed the twenty-five (25) page limit imposed by Civil Local Rule 7-3(c), but may not exceed a total of thirty-five (35) pages, exclusive of the caption page, table of contents, table of authorities, declarations, and exhibits.
- 3. Plaintiff's Motion shall be noticed for hearing on a date that is no less than 63 days after the Motion is filed and served.
- 4. Defendants' opposition to the Motion shall be filed and served not less than 35 days before the hearing date.

27

28

## 

1	5. Plaintiff's reply to Defendants' Opposition to the Motion shall be filed and served		
2	not less than 14 days before the hearing date.		
3	6. The operative date of the Ordinance shall be stayed until October 14, 2008 without		
4	prejudice as to Plaintiff's right to seek a further stay and Defendants' right to oppose any further		
5	stay.		
6	SO STIPULATED:		
7	Dated: July, 2008	ARNOLD & PORTER LLP	
8			
9		By: Trenton H. Norris	
10		Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION	
11		CALII ORIVIA RESTAURATOTA ASSOCIATION	
12	Dated: July, 2008	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
13	<u>, —</u>	City Attorney FRANCESCA GESSNER	
14		Deputy City Attorney	
15		Ву:	
16		Francesca Gessner Tara Steeley	
17		Attorneys for Defendants CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
18		AND SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		- 3 -	

1	1 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDI	ERED:
2	2 <b>7/23/08</b>	
3	3 Dated:	
4	4 5 Cardial	ملاد
5	5 Blocker	
6	6 UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT JUDGE
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>		
23		
24		
	25	

26

27

28